



Planning Commission Minutes

September 8, 2021, 6:00 p.m.
Teleconference - Broadcast via Webinar

Planning Commissioners Present: Commissioner Hemalata Dandekar, Commissioner Michael Hopkins, Commissioner Steve Kahn, Commissioner Michelle Shoresman, Commissioner Mike Wulkan, Chair Bob Jorgensen

Planning Commissioners Absent: Vice Chair Nick Quincey

City Staff Present: Senior Planner Brian Leveille, Assistant City Attorney Markie Jorgensen, and Deputy City Clerk Kevin Christian

1. CALL TO ORDER

A Regular Meeting of the San Luis Obispo Planning Commission was called to order on September 8, 2021, at 6:07 p.m.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Jorgensen opened the public hearing.

Public Comments:

None

--End of Public Comment--

Chair Jorgensen closed the public hearing.

3. **CONSENT**

3.a CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES - AUGUST 25, 2021, PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES

Approve the Planning Commission Minutes of August 25, 2021.

Motion By Commissioner Wulkan

Second By Commissioner Shoresman

Ayes (6): Commissioner Dandekar, Commissioner Hopkins, Commissioner Kahn, Commissioner Shoresman, Commissioner Wulkan, and Chair Jorgensen

Absent (1): Vice Chair Quincey

CARRIED (6 to 0)

4. **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

4.a 1953 CHORRO (APPL-0512-2021) AN APPEAL OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR'S DECISION TO DENY A DIRECTOR'S ACTION APPLICATION (DIR-0599-2019) REGARDING A REQUEST FOR SETBACK EXCEPTIONS TO ACCOMMODATE AN 800 SQUARE-FOOT ACCESSORY STRUCTURE

Assistant Planner Walter Oetzell presented the staff report and responded to Commission inquiries. Additional input was supplied by Steve Sheats, Code Enforcement Officer, and Senior Planner Brian Leveille.

Appellant Todd Miller provided rebuttal comments to items presented in the staff report.

Chair Jorgensen opened the public hearing.

Public Comments:

None

--End of Public Comment--

Chair Jorgensen closed the public hearing.

Motion By Commissioner Hopkins
Second By Commissioner Dandekar

Adopt a Resolution entitled, "A Resolution of the Planning Commission of the City of San Luis Obispo, California, denying an Appeal and upholding the Community Development Director's decision denying a request for a discretionary exception from Side and Rear Setback Standards for an Accessory Structure at 1953 Chorro Street (APPL 0512 2021)."

Ayes (5): Commissioner Dandekar, Commissioner Hopkins,
Commissioner Kahn, Commissioner Shoresman, and Commissioner
Wulkan

Noes (1): Chair Jorgensen

Absent (1): Vice Chair Quincey

CARRIED (5 to 1)

5. COMMENT AND DISCUSSION

5.a STAFF UPDATES AND AGENDA FORECAST

Senior Planner Brian Leveille provided an update of upcoming projects.

6. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 7:38 p.m. The next Regular Meeting of the Planning Commission meeting is scheduled for September 22, 2021, at 6:00 p.m. via teleconference.

APPROVED BY PLANNING COMMISSION: 09/22/2021

RESOLUTION NO. PC-1045-21

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO, CALIFORNIA, DENYING AN APPEAL AND UPHOLDING THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR'S DECISION DENYING A REQUEST FOR A DISCRETIONARY EXCEPTION FROM SIDE AND REAR SETBACK STANDARDS FOR AN ACCESSORY STRUCTURE AT 1953 CHORRO STREET (APPL-0512-2021)

WHEREAS, the Community Development Director denied a request for a Discretionary Exception from Side and Rear Setback standards for an accessory structure located at 1953 Chorro Street, on July 14, 2021, under Director's Action application DIR-0599-2019; Todd Miller, applicant; and

WHEREAS, On August 9, 2021, Todd Miller filed an appeal of the Community Development Director's decision to deny the request for a Discretionary Exception from Side and Rear Setback standards; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of San Luis Obispo meeting was conducted via teleconference from San Luis Obispo, California, on September 8, 2021, to consider the appeal of the Community Development Director's decision; and

WHEREAS, notices of said public hearing were made at the time and in the manner required by law; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has duly considered all evidence, including the testimony of the applicant, interested parties, and evaluation and recommendations by staff presented at said hearing.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Commission of the City of San Luis Obispo as follows:

SECTION 1. Findings. Based upon all the evidence, the Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed accessory structure for which the setback reduction is requested is neither consistent with, nor an improvement to, the character or traditional development pattern of the neighborhood (Zoning §§ 17.70.170 (D) (2) (d) (2) (b) & 17.108.040 (A) (2)). It is of an excessive scale, being significantly deeper and wider and greater in total enclosed floor area than structures which are typically considered to be accessory and subordinate to a single-family dwelling, and it is constructed with an exclusively metal exterior material which is inconsistent with conventional building materials and design for a residential building of this size and scale. As such, its placement directly against the property line without building setback is not consistent with the prevailing pattern of building masses in

the vicinity, in which larger structures are set back from side and rear property lines in conformance to Setback Standards set out in Zoning Regulations.

2. The proposed setback reduction does not provide adequate consideration of potential adverse visual and scale effects on surrounding properties (Zoning Regulations § 17.108.040 (A) (3)). The accessory building's perceived scale and the incongruity of its metal surface material are amplified and made more noticeable to neighboring properties by placement of the building directly adjacent to the side and rear property line without setback.
3. No site characteristics or existing improvements have been identified or observed which would make strict adherence to the Setback Standards set out in Zoning Regulations impractical or infeasible, and placement of the unusually large proposed accessory building directly against the side and rear property lines without setbacks does not conform with the intent of Setback Standards to determine the pattern of building masses and open space (Zoning Regulations § 17.108.040 (A) (4)). The property is of a conventional rectangular shape, and of dimensions exceeding minimum standards for the zone, without constraining topographical features such as creeks or unusual slope characteristics, and existing property improvements are limited to one modestly sized single-family dwelling.
4. The proposed setback reduction is not consistent with policies for protection of historical and architectural resources set out in § 3.3 of the Conservation and Open Space Element of the General Plan, or their implementing guidelines (Land Use Element § 12.4). The industrial character and metal construction of the accessory building for which the setback exception is proposed is not consistent with, and does not complement, the historical character of the primary structure on the property (Historical Preservation Program Guidelines § 3.4.1 (d)), a Victorian Queen Anne Cottage (Oliver House), designated as a Master List Resource in the City's Inventory of Historic Resources. Contrary to the guidance for Related New Construction (including new accessory structures) provided in the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Property, the accessory building's austere metal surface material and industrial character are not stylistically appropriate for the character of Oliver House, which exhibits a conventional residential character through wood exterior materials and decorative detailing.

SECTION 2. Environmental Review. This application is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). It involves a request that a public agency will disapprove, as described in CEQA Guidelines § 15270 (Projects which are disapproved).

SECTION 3. Action. The Planning Commission does hereby deny the subject appeal filed by Todd Miller, and upholds the Community Development Director's decision to deny a Discretionary Exception from Side and Rear setback standards for an Accessory Structure, under Director's Action application DIR-0599-20201.

Upon motion of Commissioner Hopkins, seconded by Commissioner Dandekar, and on the following roll call vote:

AYES:	Commissioners Dandekar, Hopkins, Kahn, Shoresman, and Wulkan
NOES:	Chair Jorgensen
RECUSED:	None
ABSENT:	Vice-Chair Quincey

The foregoing resolution was adopted this 8th day of September, 2021.



Brian Leveille, Secretary
Planning Commission