



**CITY OF  
SAN LUIS OBISPO**

Fee must accompany  
original signed appeal form

Received by: CDO

RECEIVED  
CITY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO  
Date Received  
CITY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO  
AUG - 9 2021  
AUG - 9 2021  
AUG - 11 2021  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

## APPEAL FORM

Department of Community Development Planning Division

### SECTION 1. APPELLANT INFORMATION

TODD MILLER

1953 CHORRO ST., SLO, 93401

Name

Mailing Address

(805) 235-2969

NONE

Phone

Fax

In accordance with the procedures set forth in **Title 17, Chapter 17.126** of the San Luis Obispo Municipal Code, I hereby appeal the decision of the:

Zoning Hearing Officer- Administrative Hearing (appealed to Planning Commission)  
Minor and Moderate Architectural Review (appealed to the Planning Commission)

**Tier 2**

- ☐ Applicant Appeal: \$988.35  
☐ Non-Applicant Appeal: \$395.35

Community Development Director (appealed to the Planning Commission)

**Tier 3**

- ☒ Applicant Appeal: \$346.22  
☐ Non-Applicant Appeal: \$346.22

Community Development Director- Minor (appealed to the Planning Commission)

**Tier 4**

- ☐ Applicant Appeal: \$148.55  
☐ Non-Applicant Appeal: \$148.55

If an action by the Architectural Review commission, Cultural Heritage Committee or Planning Commission is being appealed, an "Appeal to the City Council" form is needed and can be obtained from the City Clerk's Office.

SECTION 2. SUBJECT OF APPEAL:

The date the decision being appealed was rendered: JULY 14, 2021

Project address: 1953 CHORRO ST. Application number: DIR-0599-2019

Explain specifically **what** action(s) you are appealing and **why** you believe your appeal should be considered. You may attach additional pages, if necessary:


To Whom It May Concern,

I am appealing the Director's decision, for DIR-0599-2019, dated July 14, 2021 and will res

- 1) That the proposed building is not consistent with, nor an improvement to the the charac
- 2) That the character and building materials are not consistent with the historical character
- 3) That the setback reduction does not provide adequate consideration of potential advers
- 4) That there are no site characteristics or improvements that have been identified or obse

Warm Regards,

  
Todd Miller  
1953 Chorro St.  
(805)235-2969

  
Signature of Appellant

8-8-21  
Date

RECEIVED  
CITY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO

AUG - 9 2021

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT